

# ADVANCED FINANCIAL MODELS SUMMARY

ANDREW TULLOCH

## 1. ARBITRAGE THEORY

**Definition.** An investment/consumption strategy is a predictable process  $H$  satisfying the **self-financing condition**

$$H_{t-1} \cdot P_{t-1} \geq H_t \cdot P_{t-1} \quad (1.1)$$

The corresponding consumption process  $c_t$  is given as

$$c_t = H_{t-1} \cdot P_{t-1} - H_t \cdot P_{t-1} \quad (1.2)$$

**Definition.**  $X_t$  is **predictable** if  $X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}$ -measurable for all  $t \geq 1$ .

**Definition.** A state price density is a strictly positive adapted process  $Y$  such that the process  $Y_t P_t$  is a martingale.

**Definition.** An **absolute arbitrage** is a strategy  $H$  such that there exists a non-random time  $T > 0$  with the properties

- (i)  $X_0(H) = 0 = X_T(H)$  almost surely, and
- (ii)  $\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T c_t > 0\right) > 0$ .

**Definition.** An asset is a numeraire if its price is strictly positive for all time, almost surely.

**Theorem.** If a numeraire exists, then we have that if an investment/consumption strategy is an arbitrage for the market model, there exists a pure investment strategy  $H'$  and a non-random time horizon  $T'$  such that

- (i)  $X_0(H') = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $X_{T'}(H') \geq 0$  almost surely,
- (iii)  $\mathbb{P}(X_{T'}(H') > 0) > 0$ .

**Theorem.** A market model has no arbitrage if and only if there exists a state price density.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ )  $H_0 = \mathbb{E}(Y P_1)$ , so if  $0 \leq \mathbb{E}(Y H \cdot P_1) = H \cdot \mathbb{E}(Y P_1) = H \cdot P_0 = 0$ , so by pigeonhole  $H \cdot P_1 = 0$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) By separating hyperplane argument, we have  $P = \{\mathbb{E}(Y P_1) | Y > 0, \mathbb{E}(Y \| P_1 \|) < \infty\}$ , so either  $P_0 \in P$  (and so state price density exists), or there exists  $H$  with for all  $p \in P$ ,  $H \cdot (p - P_0) \geq 0$  (with  $p^* \in P$ ) with  $H \cdot (p^* - P_0) > 0$ .

Then setting  $Y = \epsilon Y_0$ , we have a pigeonhole argument showing that  $P(X - H \cdot P_0) = 0$ , with  $X \geq 0$  a.s.  $\square$

**Definition.** A **supermartingale** is an adapted integrable process such that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \leq X_s \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $0 \leq s \leq t$ .

**Definition.** A **stopping time** for a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is a random variable  $\tau$  such that the event  $\{\tau \leq t\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable for all  $t$ .

**Definition.** For an adapted process  $X_t$  and a stopping time  $\tau$ , the **stopped process**  $X^\tau$  is given by  $X_{t \wedge \tau}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a martingale and let  $\tau$  be a stopping time, then  $X^\tau$  is a martingale.

*Proof.* The process  $K_t = \mathbb{I}(t \leq \tau)$  is predictable, bounded, so  $X^\tau$  is a martingale transform and hence a martingale.  $\square$

**Definition.** A **local martingale** is an adapted process  $X_t$  such that there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times  $\tau_n$  with  $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$  such that the stopped process  $X^{\tau_n}$  is a martingale for each  $N$ .

**Theorem.** Martingales are local martingales..

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a local martingale, with  $|X_s| < Y_t$  a.s for all  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . If  $\mathbb{E}(Y_t) < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then  $X$  is a true martingale.

*Proof.* Conditional dominated convergence theorem,  $X_{t \wedge \tau_n}$  is a martingale.  $\square$

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a local martingale, with  $X_t \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $X$  is a supermartingale.

*Proof.* Fatou's Lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem.** If  $X$  is a discrete-time local martingale with  $X_t \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $X$  is a martingale.

**Theorem.** The probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equivalent to the measure  $\mathbb{P}$  if and only if there exists a positive random variable  $\xi$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}^P(\xi \mathbb{I}(A))$ .

The random variable  $\xi$  is call the density, or Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Definition.** A **numeraire** is an asset with a strictly positive price at all times.

**Definition.** An **equivalent martingale measure** is any probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  equivalent opt  $\mathbb{P}$  such that the discounted price process  $\frac{S_t}{N_t}$  is a martingale under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $N_t$  is the numeraire price process.

**Definition.** Let  $Y$  be a state price density, and fix a time horizon  $T > 0$ . Then

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{Y_T N_T}{Y_0 N_0} \quad (1.4)$$

is an equivalent martingale measure relative to  $N$  for the model.

**Definition.** Suppose  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an equivalent martingale measure for the market  $P_t$ . Then

$$Y_t = \frac{N_0}{N_T} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}\left(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} | \mathcal{F}_t\right) \quad (1.5)$$

is a state price density.

## 2. PRICING AND HEDGING CONTINGENT CLAIMS

**Definition.** A **European claim** with payout  $\xi_T$  is **replicable** (or **attainable**) if there exists a pure investment strategy  $H$  such that  $X_T(H) = \xi_T$  almost surely.

**Theorem.** Suppose that our market has no arbitrage. Let  $\xi_T$  be the payout of a European option, and let  $H$  be the replicating strategy. Suppose that the option is priced at  $\xi_t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Then if the augmented market with the option has no arbitrage,

$$\xi_t = X_t(H) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

*Proof.* First fundamental theorem applied to  $(P, \xi)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose that the market model has no arbitrage, and let  $Y$  be a state price density process. Let  $\xi_T$  be the payout of an attainable European contingent claim with maturity date  $T > 0$ . Suppose the claim has price  $\epsilon_t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and that the augmented market with the option has no arbitrage. If either  $Y_T \xi_T$  is integrable of  $\xi_T \geq 0$  almost surely, then

$$\xi_t = \frac{1}{Y_t} \mathbb{E}(\xi_T Y_T | \mathcal{F}_t) \quad (2.2)$$

or (if a numeraire exists),

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{N_T Y_T}{N_0 Y_0} \quad (2.3)$$

**Definition.** A market is **complete** if every European contingent claim is attainable, and **incomplete** otherwise.

**Theorem.** An arbitrage-free market model is complete if and only if there exists a unique state price density  $Y$  such that  $Y_0 = 1$ .

*Proof.* Uniqueness follows from  $Y = Y'$ , then considering  $\xi = \mathbb{I}(Y_T > Y'_T)$  and pigeonhole.  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose the adapted process  $\xi_t$  specifies the payout of an American claim maturing at  $T > 0$ . Then there exists a trading strategy  $H$  such that

- (i)  $X_t(H) \geq \xi_t$  for all  $0 \leq qt \leq T$ ,
- (ii)  $X_{\tau^*} = \xi_{\tau^*}$  for some stopping time  $\tau^*$ , and
- (iii)  $X_0(H) = \sup_{\tau \leq T} \mathbb{E}(Y_T \xi_T)$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $U$  be a discrete-time supermartingale. Then there is a unique decomposition

$$U_t = U_0 + M_t - A_t \quad (2.4)$$

where  $M$  is a martingale and  $A$  is a predictable non-decreasing process with  $M_0 = A_0 = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $M_0 = 0 = A_0$ ,

$$M_{t+1} = M_t + U_{t+1} - \mathbb{E}(U_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t) \quad (2.5)$$

$$A_{t+1} = A_t + U_t - \mathbb{E}(U_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t). \quad (2.6)$$

and telescope.  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $Z_t$  be an integrable adapted discrete-time process. Let  $U_t$  be given by the recursion

$$U_T = Z_T \quad (2.7)$$

$$U_t = \max(Z_t, \mathbb{E}(U_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t)) \quad (2.8)$$

$U_t$  is called the Snell envelope of  $Z_t$ . It is the smallest supermartingale that dominates the process  $Z_t$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $Z_t$  be an integrable adapted process, with  $U_t$  its Snell envelope with Doob decomposition  $U_t = U_0 + M_t - A_t$ . Let  $\tau^* = \min\{t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : A_{t+1} > 0\}$  with the convention  $\tau^* = T$  on  $\{A_t = 0 \forall t\}$ . Then  $\tau^*$  is a stopping time, with

$$U_{\tau^*} = U_0 + M_{\tau^*} = Z_{\tau^*}. \quad (2.9)$$

**Theorem.** Let  $Z$  be an adapted integrable process and let  $U$  be its Snell envelope. Then

$$U_0 = \sup_{\tau \leq T} \mathbb{E}(Z_\tau). \quad (2.10)$$

### 3. BROWNIAN MOTION AND STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

**Definition.** A Brownian motion is a collection of random variables such that

- (i)  $W_0(\omega) = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,
- (ii) For all  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ ,  $W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}$  are independent with distribution  $N(0, |t_{i+1} - t_i|)$ ,
- (iii) The sample path  $t \mapsto W_t(\omega)$  is continuous for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Definition.** A simple predictable process is an adapted process  $\alpha$  of the form  $\alpha_t(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}((t_{n-1}, t_n]) (t) a_n(\omega)$  where  $a_n$  are bounded and  $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}$ -measurable for  $0 \leq t_0 < \dots < t_n < \infty$ .

Define the stochastic integral by the formula

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha_s dW_s = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n (W_{t_n} - W_{t_{n-1}}) \quad (3.1)$$

**Theorem** (Ito's Isometry). For a simple predictable integrand  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha_s dW_s\right)^2\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha_s^2 ds\right) \quad (3.2)$$

**Definition.** If  $\alpha$  is predictable with  $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^\infty \alpha_s^2 ds) < \infty$ , then  $\int_0^\infty \alpha_s dW_s = \lim_k \int_0^\infty \alpha_s^{(k)} dW_s$  where the limit is in  $L^2(\Omega)$  where  $\alpha^{(k)}$  is a sequence of simple predictable processes converging to  $\alpha$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega)$ .

**Theorem.** For every predictable  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^t \alpha_s^2 ds) < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , there exists a continuous martingale  $X$  such that  $X_t = \int_0^\infty \alpha_s \mathbb{I}(s \leq t) dW_s$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\alpha$  is an adapted continuous process then  $X_t = \int_0^t \alpha_s dW_s$  is a continuous local martingale. If we have  $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^t \alpha_s^2 ds) < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then  $X$  is a true martingale.

**Definition.** An Ito process  $X$  is an adapted process of the form

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_s dW_s + \int_0^t \beta_s ds \quad (3.3)$$

where  $X_0$  is a fixed real number and  $\alpha_t, \beta_t$  are predictable real-valued processes such that  $\int_0^t \alpha_s^2 ds < \infty$  and  $\int_0^t |\beta_s| ds < \infty$  almost surely for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be an Ito process and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  twice continuously differentiable. Then

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \alpha_s dW_s + \int_0^t [f'(X_s) \beta_s + \frac{1}{2} f''(X_s) \alpha_s^2] ds \quad (3.4)$$

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be an Ito process. There exists a continuous non-decreasing process  $\langle X \rangle$  called the quadratic variation of  $X$ , such that

$$\langle X \rangle_t = \lim_N \sum_{n=1}^N (X_{\frac{nt}{N}} - X_{\frac{(n-1)t}{N}})^2 \quad (3.5)$$

for each  $t \geq 0$ , where the limit is in probability. If

$$dX_t = \alpha_t dW_t + \beta_t dt, \quad (3.6)$$

then

$$d\langle X \rangle_t = \alpha_t^2 dt \quad (3.7)$$

**Theorem.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $(t, x) \mapsto f(t, x)$  is continuously differentiable in  $t$  and twice-continuously differentiable in  $x$ . Then

$$df(t, X_t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X_t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(t, X_t) dX_t^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t, X_t) d\langle X^{(i)}, X^{(j)} \rangle_t \quad (3.8)$$

**Theorem.** Let  $W_t$  be an  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion, with

$$Z_t = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\alpha_s\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \alpha_s \cdot dW_s\right) \quad (3.9)$$

and  $Z_t$  be a martingale. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the equivalent measure with density  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = Z_T$ . Then the  $m$ -dimensional process  $(\hat{W}_t)$  defined by  $\hat{W}_t = W_t - \int_0^t \alpha_s ds$  is a Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Theorem** (Novikov's Condition). If  $\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\alpha_s\|^2 ds\right)\right) < \infty$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\alpha_s\|^2 ds + \int_0^T \alpha_s \cdot dW_s\right)\right) = 1 \quad (3.10)$$

**Theorem.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space with an  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W$  and filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  generated by  $W$ . Let  $X$  be a continuous local martingale. Then there exists a unique predictable  $m$ -dimensional process  $\alpha_t$  such that  $\int_0^t \|\alpha_s\|^2 ds < \infty$  almost surely for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_s \cdot dW_s$ . Furthermore, if  $X_t > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  then there exists a predictable  $\beta$  such that  $\int_0^t \|\beta_s\|^2 ds < \infty$  and

$$X_t = X_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\beta_s\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \beta_s \cdot dW_s\right). \quad (3.11)$$

### 4. ARBITRAGE THEORY FOR CONTINUOUS-TIME MODELS

**Definition.** An  $(n+1)$ -dimensional predictable process  $(H, c)$  such that  $H$  is  $P$ -integrable is a self-financing investment/consumption strategy if and only if  $d(H_t \cdot P_t) = H_t \cdot dP_t - c_t dt$ . The wealth associated with a self-financing strategy  $H$  is  $X_t = H_t \cdot P_t = X_0(H) + \int_0^t H_s \cdot dP_s - \int_0^t c_s ds$ .

**Definition.** A trading strategy  $H$  is  $L$ -admissible if and only if the associated wealth process  $X(H)$  is such that  $X_t(H) \geq -L_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$  a.s. where  $L$  is a given continuous non-negative adapted process.

**Definition.** An admissible investment/consumption strategy  $(H, c)$  is called an absolute arbitrage if and only if there is a non-random time  $T$  such that  $X_0(H) = 0 = X_T(H)$  a.s. and  $\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^T c_s ds > 0\right) > 0$ .

**Definition.** A state price density is a positive Ito process  $Y$  such that  $Y P$  is an  $n$ -dimensional local martingale.

**Theorem.** If there exists a state price density  $Y$  such that  $Y L$  is locally of class  $D$ , then there are no  $L$ -admissible absolute arbitrages.

*Proof.* Using the self-financing condition  $dX_t = d(H_t \cdot P_t) = H_t \cdot dP_t - c_t dt$ , we obtain  $d(X_t Y_t) = H_t \cdot d(Y_t P_t) - Y_t c_t dt$ .

Then if  $Y L$  is of class  $D$ , we can show  $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T H_s \cdot d(Y_s P_s) + L_T Y_T\right) = \mathbb{E}(L_T Y_T)$  be Fatou's, stopped local martingales, and uniform integrability. Then we show  $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T Y_s c_s ds\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T H_s \cdot d(Y_s P_s)\right) \leq 0$  and so  $c_t = 0$  a.s.  $\square$

**Definition.** A family of random variables  $\mathcal{Z}$  is called uniformly integrable if and only if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{E}(|Z| \mathbb{I}(|Z| > k)) = 0$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  be a family of integrable random variables. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $Z_n \rightarrow Z_\infty$  in  $L^1$ , and
- (ii)  $(Z_n)$  is uniformly integrable and  $Z_n \rightarrow Z_\infty$  in probability.

**Definition.** A continuous adapted process  $Z$  is of class  $D$  if the family of random variables  $\{Z_\tau\}$  with  $\tau$  a finite stopping time is uniformly integrable. A process is locally of class  $D$  if  $\{Z_{\tau \wedge t}\}$  for  $\tau$  a stopping time is uniformly integrable for each  $t \geq 0$ .

If  $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Z_s|) < \infty$  for each  $t \geq 0$ , then  $Z$  is locally of class  $D$ . If  $Z$  is a martingale, then  $Z$  is locally of class  $D$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose  $(H, c)$  is a self-financing investment/consumption strategy and let  $X_t = H_t \cdot P_t = X_0 + \int_0^t H_s \cdot dP_s - \int_0^t c_s ds$ . Then  $d(X_t Y_t) = H_t \cdot d(Y_t P_t) - Y_t c_t dt$  for any Ito process  $Y$ .

**Definition.** A relative arbitrage is a pure investment strategy with wealth process  $X$  such that there is a non-random time  $T > 0$  satisfying  $\frac{X_T}{N_T} \geq \frac{X_0}{N_0}$  a.s and  $\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_T}{N_T} > \frac{X_0}{N_0}\right) > 0$ .

**Definition.** An equivalent (local) martingale measure relative to the numeraire with price  $N$  is a probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\frac{S}{N}$  is a local martingale.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be an equivalent local martingale measure. Suppose  $\frac{L}{N}$  is locally in  $\mathbb{Q}$ -class  $D$ . Then there are no  $L$ -admissible relative arbitrages.

**Theorem.** There exist continuous time markets that have relative arbitrage but no absolute arbitrage.

**Theorem.** Let  $\lambda$  be a predictable  $m$ -dimensional process such that  $\int_0^t \|\lambda_s\|^2 ds < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t \geq 0$  and that  $\sigma_t \lambda_t = \mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1}$ . Let  $Y_t = Y_0 \exp(-\int_0^t (r_s + \frac{\|\lambda_s\|^2}{2}) ds - \int_0^t \lambda_s \cdot dW_s)$  for a constant  $Y_0 > 0$  - or equivalently,  $dY_t = Y_t(-r_t dt - \lambda_t \cdot dW_t)$ . Then  $Y$  is a state-price density. Furthermore, if the filtration is generated by the  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W$ , all state price densities have this form.

*Proof.* Show  $YB$  and  $YS$  are local martingales.

Martingale representation theorem shows that all are of the form  $M_t = M_0 \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\lambda_s\|^2 ds - \int_0^t \lambda_s \cdot dW_s)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\lambda$  is a predictable process with  $\sigma_t \lambda_t = \mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1}$ . If  $M_t = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\lambda_s\|^2 ds - \int_0^t \lambda_s \cdot dW_s}$  is a true martingale, then the measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined by  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = M_T$  is an equivalent martingale measure. In particular, the stock price dynamics are given by

$$dS_t^i = S_t^i (r_t dt + \sum_j \sigma_t^{ij} d\hat{W}_t^j) \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\hat{W}_t = W_t + \int_0^t \lambda_s ds$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$  Brownian motion.

## 5. HEDGING CONTINGENT CLAIMS IN CONTINUOUS TIME MODELS

**Theorem.** Suppose  $m = d$  and the  $d \times d$  matrix  $\sigma_t$  is invertible for all  $t, \omega$  so that in particular, there is a unique (up to scaling) state price density  $Y$  of the form  $dY_t = Y_t(-r_t dt - \lambda_t \cdot dW_t)$  where  $\lambda_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1})$ .

Let  $\xi_T$  be non-negative,  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable, and such that  $\xi_T Y_T$  is integrable. Then there exists a 0-admissible strategy  $H$  with initial cost  $X_0(H) = \mathbb{E}_{Y_0}(Y_T \xi_T)$  which replicates the European claim with payout  $\xi_T$ .

Furthermore, if  $LY$  is locally of class  $D$  and  $\tilde{H}$  is an  $L$ -admissible strategy replicating the claim, then  $X_0(\tilde{H}) \geq X_0(H)$ .

**Definition.** The Black-Scholes model is given by the pair of equations

$$dB_t = B_t r_t dt \quad (5.1)$$

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t) \quad (5.2)$$

Consider pricing a European option with payoff  $\xi_T = g(S_T)$ . The unique state price density with  $Y_0 = 1$  is given by  $Y_t = \exp((r - \frac{\lambda^2}{2})t - \lambda W_t)$  with  $\lambda = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$ .

Thus, there is a trading strategy  $H$  which replicates the payout with

$$X_t(H) = \frac{1}{Y_t} \mathbb{E}(Y_T g(S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t). \quad (5.3)$$

The EMM  $\mathbb{Q}$  is given by the density  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \exp(-\frac{\lambda^2 T}{2} - \lambda W_T)$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose that the function  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the PDE

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^d r S^i \frac{\partial V}{\partial S^i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i,j} S^i S^j \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^i \partial S^j} = rV \quad (5.4)$$

and  $V(T, S) = g(S)$ .

Then there exists a 0-admissible strategy  $H$  such that  $X_t(H) = V(t, S_t)$ . In particular, this strategy replicates the contingent claim with payout  $g(S_T)$ .

Furthermore, if  $H = (\phi, \pi)$ , then the strategy can be calculated as

$$\pi_t = \nabla V(t, S_t) = \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_1}(t, S_t), \dots, \frac{\partial V}{\partial S^d}(t, S_t) \right) \quad (5.5)$$

and  $\phi_t = \frac{V(t, S_t) - \pi_t \cdot S_t}{B_t}$ .

*Proof.* Ito's formula on  $dV(t, S_t)$ , and show  $V(t, S_t) = \phi_t B_t + \pi_t S_t$ , and  $dV(t, S_t) = \phi_t dB_t + \pi_t dS_t$ , so  $H = (\phi, \pi)$  is a self-financing strategy that replicates  $V(t, S_t)$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $C_0(T, K) = \mathbb{E}_{e^{-rT}(S_T - K)^+}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial T}(T, K) + rK \frac{\partial C_0}{\partial K}(T, K) = \frac{\sigma(T, K)^2}{2} K^2 \frac{\partial^2 C_0}{\partial K^2}(T, K)$ .

**Theorem.** Assume that a banker hedges an option assuming constant volatility, and delta hedges with wealth evolving with  $dX_t = r(X_t - \pi_t S_t) + \pi_t S_t$ , with  $\pi_t = V_S(t, S_t, \hat{\sigma})$ . If the true dynamics are  $dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma_t dW_t)$ , then using the fact that  $V$  solves the BS PDE and that  $dV_t = rV dt + \pi_t(dS_t - rS_t dt) + \frac{1}{2} S_t^2 (\sigma_t^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2) V_{SS} dt$ , we obtain

$$X_T - g(S_T) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T e^{r(T-t)} (\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma_t^2) S_t^2 V_{SS}(t, S_t, \hat{\sigma}) dt \quad (5.6)$$

## 6. INTEREST RATE MODELS

**Definition.** A zero-coupon bond with maturity  $T$  is a European contingent claim that pays one unit of currency at time  $T$ .  $P(t, T)$  is the price at time  $t \in [0, T]$  of the bond.

The yield  $y(t, T)$  is defined by  $y(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \log P(t, T)$ .

The forward rate  $f(t, T)$  is defined by  $f(t, T) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \log P(t, T)$ .

Note that  $P(t, T) = e^{-(T-t)y(t, T)} = e^{-\int_t^T f(t, s) ds}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $dB_t = B_t r_t dt$  where  $r_t$  is the short interest rate. Then there is no arbitrage relative to the numeraire if there exists an equivalent measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that the discounted bond price process  $\frac{P(t, T)}{B_t}$   $t \in [0, T]$  is a local martingale for all  $T > 0$ . In particular, there is no arbitrage if  $P(t, T) = \mathbb{E}_{\exp(-\int_t^T r_s ds) | \mathcal{F}_t}(\mathbb{Q})$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

**Definition.** The Vasicek model is  $dr_t = \lambda(\bar{r} - r_t) dt + \sigma d\hat{W}_t$ .

We have  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{Q})(r_t) = e^{-\lambda t} r_0 + (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \bar{r}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{Q}}(r_t) = \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} \sigma^2 ds = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda} (1 - e^{-2\lambda t})$ .

Indeed, we can deduce that

$$f(t, t+x) = r_t e^{-\lambda x} + \bar{r}(1 - e^{-\lambda x}) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda x})^2 \quad (6.1)$$

**Theorem.** Consider now where the short rate is Markovian, and so  $dr_t = \alpha(t, r_t) dt + \beta(t, r_t) d\hat{W}_t$  for non-random function  $\alpha, \beta$ .

If we fix  $T > 0$  and let  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the PDE

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, r) + \alpha(t, r) \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}(t, r) + \frac{1}{2} \beta(t, r)^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2}(t, r) = rV(t, r) \quad (6.2)$$

with  $V(T, r) = 1$ . Assume  $P(t, T) = V(t, r_t)$ . Then the discounted price process  $\exp(-\int_t^T r_s ds) P(t, T)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local martingale.

## REFERENCES